

Course Number:

Wilmington University College of Education

Graduate Special Education Programs

ulty Contact:				
imum Time Requirements (in	clock hours):			
Teacher Led Instruction	SEA	Fieldwork/Clinical	Lab	External Learnin
Teacher Leu Histi uction	SEA	Fieldwork/ Chinical	Lav	External Learnin
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes	3	
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes	3	
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes	3	
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes	3	
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes	3	
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes		
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes		
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes		
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes	3	
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes		
	College Ed	lucation Program Attributes		
gram Standards:		lucation Program Attributes		

Specialized Professional Association Standards:	
Wilmington University Graduation Competencies:	
Delaware Performance Appraisal System II:	
Learning Methods:	
Learning Outcome 1 – Select and use technically sound formal and informal assessme	ents that minimize bias.
Learning Outcome 2 – Use knowledge of measurement principles and practices to int and guide educational decisions for individuals with exceptionalities.	erpret assessment results
Learning Outcome 3 Knows a range of evidence based instructional strategies, reso tools and how to use them effectively to plan instruction that meets diverse learning	urces, and technological needs.

Dooding Difficulties	Effective Instruction for Middle School Students with
meaning Difficulties	s: The Reading Teacher's Sourcebook.
	Dyslexia in the Classroom: What Every Teacher Needs to Know.
	TEACHING Exceptional Children, 49
	Reading Teacher,
65	
	Monitoring Student Progress in Individualized Educational Programs Using Curriculum Based
Measurement.	
	Placement and Diagnosis Assessment: Informal Reading Inventory. Early Reading Inventory.
	Lairy woulding inventory.

Pre Reading Inventory (PRI).

	Learni	ng Disabilities Research & Practice, 29(2), 46.53.		
		— The abecedarian reading assessment.		
Understanding Learning	Links			
	-			
	<u> </u>			
	- 			
Supporting Resources				
Writing, 1 20				Reading and
	K	Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 26	<i>r&</i> r′√r	

MSE 7901 Case Study Based on Implemented Assessment and Implementation of Lesson

	Unsatisfactory (1)	Emerging (2)	Basic (3)	Proficient (4)	Distinguished (5)
Student Reading					

Unsatisfactory (1)	Emerging (2)	Basic (3)	Proficient (4)	Distinguished (5)
related	assessments	assessments		

	Unsatisfactory (1)	Emerging (2)	Basic (3)	Proficient (4)	Distinguished (5)
			needs	needs and assessment results.	results, relating to impact of student exceptionality.
•	fails to provide a	attempts to	structures the	•	

fails to provide a response, or educational environment attempts to structure the educational environment to provide learning opportunities structures the educational environment to provide

environment is not consistent

	Unsatisfactory (1)	Emerging (2)	Basic (3)	Proficient (4)	Distinguished (5)
	inappropriate OR is not prepared with needed materials.		meaningful opportunities	materials according to the needs of the student	needs of the student
	does not provide appropriate feedback.	provides general feedback	provides performance based feedback but does not encourage students	provides performance based feedback to engage students	provides specific performance based feedback to engage students
Lesson Reflection					
	does not appropriately reflect on their ability to provide appropriate instruction OR reflection does not match implementation of lesson.	appropriately reflects on their use of a traditional text book reading lesson, Ideas for improvement are vague	appropriately reflects on their ability to design explicit, systematic reading instruction and realizing missed opportunities. do not reflect a deeper understanding.	appropriately reflects on their ability to design and implement explicit, systematic reading instruction, identifying areas for improvement. related to teacher or student performance.	appropriately reflects on their ability to design and implement explicit, systematic reading instruction appropriate to specific student needs, identifying areas for improvement supported with evidence directly tied to teacher and student performance.
	does not appropriately reflect on their ability to provide appropriate feedback or monitoring OR reflection does not match implementation of lesson. Ideas for improvement are not appropriate, or candidate does not realize need for improvement.	appropriately reflects on their ability to provide multiple opportunities for feedback, monitor student performance and make adjustments . Ideas for improvement are vague	appropriately reflects on their ability to provide multiple opportunities for feedback, monitor student performance and make adjustments realizes they did not fully implement these strategies. do not reflect a deeper understanding.	appropriately reflects on their ability to provide multiple opportunities for feedback, monitor student performance and make adjustments Ideas for improvement are related to teacher or student performance.	appropriately reflects on their ability to provide multiple opportunities for feedback, monitor student performance and make adjustment directly relating to video performance. Ideas for improvement are directly tied to teacher and student performance.

Unsatisfactory (1)	Emerging (2)	Basic (3)	Proficient (4)	Distinguished (5)
			student	
			performance.	

does not appropriately reflect on student engagement OR reflection does not match implementation of lesson. not appropriate,
does not realize